

TOPOLOGY PROJECT, 1ST LECTURE

Søren Brinck Knudstorp

January 8, 2024

Universiteit van Amsterdam

Plan for the day

- A few announcements
- Structure of the lecture
- Actual lecture
- Break from 11h45-12h
- More lecturing

Announcements and structure

Announcements:

- Lecture notes available on the website; will be updated throughout the project
- First assignment to be published on Wednesday (deadline: Feb 9th)

Structure of the lecture:

- Lecture covers (most of) the content of the lecture notes
- Both slides and blackboard
- @People on Zoom: If you have a question, let Rodrigo know

The concept of a topological space

Definition (topological space)

Let X be a set. $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a *topology on X* iff

- (O1) \emptyset and X are in τ ; i.e., $\emptyset \in \tau$ and $X \in \tau$.
- (O2) τ is closed under *arbitrary* unions.
- (O3) τ is closed under *finite* intersections.

Terminology:

- τ is a *topology* on X
- (X, τ) is a *topological space* (or simply: X is a top. sp.)
- $U \in \tau$ is *open*

- Appears abstract and arbitrary
- We will give it a rich epistemic meaning

Definition (topological space)

Let X be a set. $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a *topology on X* :iff

- (O1) \emptyset and X are in τ ; i.e., $\emptyset \in \tau$ and $X \in \tau$.
- (O2) τ is closed under *arbitrary* unions.
- (O3) τ is closed under *finite* intersections.

Epistemic interpretation:

(X) We think of X as a set of ‘epistemic worlds’.

(τ) We think of $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ as corresponding to the set of ‘verifiable propositions’.

What is a 'verifiable proposition'?

Verifiable propositions

What is a proposition?

See Blackboard

What does 'verifiable' mean?

Consider the propositions

$(\exists \neg WS)$ *There is a non-white swan.*

and its negation

$(\forall WS)$ *All swans are white.*

Definition

A proposition P is *verifiable* iff whenever P is true at a world x (i.e., $x \in \llbracket P \rrbracket$), it is possible to verify P at x (i.e., verify $x \in \llbracket P \rrbracket$).

Let's check that (01)-(03) are satisfied

Epistemic intuition (cont.)

1. *See blackboard for example*
2. **Why this asymmetric definition of a topological space?**
 - Arbitrary intersections? (see blackboard)
 - Complements? (see blackboard)
3. Summary:

Logic	Topology
Epistemic worlds/situations/models/etc.	Points, $x \in X$
Verifiable propositions	Open sets, $U \in \tau$

4. Examples of top. spaces (blackboard):
 - $X = \{x, y, z\}$ with mult. (non-)tops
 - Discrete and indiscrete
 - S4 frames

Basis and subbasis

Definition (basis and subbasis)

Given a top. sp. (X, τ) , $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \tau$ is a *basis for the topology* τ :iff $\forall U \in \tau, \exists (V_i)_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ s.t.

$$U = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i.$$

Further, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \tau$ is a *subbasis for the topology* :iff $\{\bigcap_{V \in M} V \mid M \subseteq \mathcal{S}, M \text{ is finite}\}$ forms a basis for the topology.

Terminology: Given a (sub)basis $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \tau$, we call members $U \in \mathcal{B}$ (sub)basic opens.

Proposition

Let X be a set and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ a collection of sets. Then there is a (unique) topology on X for which \mathcal{C} is a subbasis.

Moreover, if (1) \mathcal{C} covers X (i.e., $\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} U = X$) and (2) \mathcal{C} is closed under finite non-empty intersections, then there is a (unique) topology on X for which \mathcal{C} is a basis.

Proof idea

See blackboard.

Epi. int.: what is a (sub)basis?

Examples of (sub)bases

Epistemic example

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ where a, b, c, d are worlds described as follows:

	All ravens are black	Some raven is non-black
$(\forall WS)$	a	b
$(\exists \neg WS)$	c	d

See blackboard for rest of example

More examples (see blackboard):

- Real line topology
- Cantor and Baire spaces

Comparing topologies

Definition

Let X be a set, and τ and τ' two topologies on this set. We say that τ is a *coarser topology* than τ' if $\tau \subseteq \tau'$. Conversely, we say that τ' is *finer* than τ .

(Highly useful) lemma

Suppose X is a set with two topologies τ and τ' , and \mathcal{B}_τ and $\mathcal{B}_{\tau'}$ are bases for these topologies, respectively. Then $\tau \subseteq \tau'$ iff for all points $x \in X$ and all basic τ -open $U \in \mathcal{B}_\tau$ containing x , there is some basic τ' -open $U' \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau'}$ such that $x \in U' \subseteq U$.

Proof

See blackboard.

Comparing tops on \mathbb{R}

Euclidean top and top gen. by basis $\{(l, \infty) \mid l \in \mathbb{R}\}$. See blackboard.

Questions?